



Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
**Late. G.N. SAPKAL COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING**  
Anjaneri, Nashik 422212  
**Department of Electrical Engineering**



**Faculty Feedback Analysis by Analysis**


Academic Year 2022-23

SE Electrical

Sem II

Sr. No.	Faculty Name	Branch/Department	Semester/ Group	Course Name	Attendees	Performance (%)	Remark	Average (%)
1	Santosh Hurpade	Electrical Engineering	Fourth Semester	Numerical Methods & Computer Programming	15 / 34	78.4	Excellent	77.98
					14 / 34	77.57		
2	Pankaj Gajare	Electrical Engineering	Fourth Semester	Power System-I	15 / 34	79.27	Excellent	79.38
3	Ravindra Pawar	Electrical Engineering	Fourth Semester	Electrical Machines-I	15 / 34	82.93	Excellent	81.97
					14 / 34	81		
4	Savita Tidke	Electrical Engineering	Fourth Semester	Fundamental of Microcontroller and Applications	15 / 34	85	Excellent	85.6
					14 / 34	86.2		
5	Ravindra Baji	Electrical Engineering	Fourth Semester	Network Analysis	15 / 34	69	Excellent	74.25
					14 / 34	79.5		

  
Prof. R. N. Baji  
HoD

  
Prof. (Dr.) S. B. Bagal  
Principal



Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
Late. G.N. SAPKAL COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING  
Anjaneri, Nashik 422212



## Department of Electrical Engineering


### Faculty Feedback Analysis by Analysis


Academic Year 2022-23

TE Electrical

Sem I

Sr. No.	Faculty Name	Branch/Department	Semester/ Group	Course Name	Attendees	Performance (%)	Remark	Average (%)
1	Ravindra Baji	Electrical Engineering	V Semester	Industrial and Technology Management	23 / 32	86.52	Excellent	87.06
					10/32	87.6		
2	Santosh Hurbade	Electrical Engineering	V Semester	Advanced Microcontroller and Embedded System	23 / 32	84.52	Excellent	86.36
					10/32	88.2		
3	Pankaj Gajare	Electrical Engineering	V Semester	Electrical Installation Design and Condition Based	23 / 32	88.74	Excellent	88.07
					10/32	87.4		
4	Savita Tidke	Electrical Engineering	V Semester	Power Electronics	23 / 32	88.39	Excellent	88.55
					10/32	88.7		
5	Ravindra Pawar	Electrical Engineering	V Semester	Electrical Machines-II	23 / 32	85.83	Excellent	86.31
					10/32	86.8		

  
Prof. R. N. Baji  
HoD

  
Prof. (Dr.) S. B. Bagal  
Principal



Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
**Late. G.M. SAPKAL COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING**  
Anjaneri, Nashik 422212  
**Department of Electrical Engineering**




**Faculty Feedback Analysis by Analysis**


Academic Year 2022-23

BE Electrical

Sem I

Sr. No.	Faculty Name	Branch/Department	Semester/ Group	Course Name	Attendees	Performance (%)	Remark	Average (%)
1	Ravindra Baji	Electrical Engineering	Seventh Semester	Power Quality Management	21 / 33	88.81	Excellent	87.83
					13 / 33	86.85		
2	Santosh Hurpade	Electrical Engineering	Seventh Semester	Power System Operation & Control	22 / 33	89.14	Excellent	87.5
					13 / 33	85.85		
3	Pankaj Gajare	Electrical Engineering	Seventh Semester	Advanced Control System	21 / 33	91.48	Excellent	90.59
					13 / 33	89.69		
4	Ravindra Pawar	Electrical Engineering	Seventh Semester	Electrical & Hybrid Vehicle	21 / 33	89.72	Excellent	87.86
					13 / 33	86		

  
Prof. R. N. Baji  
HoD

  
Prof. (Dr.) S. B. Bagal,  
Principal

## Electrical Engineering Department Analysis Report of Parent Feedback Form 2022-23


### Part I – Feedback regarding Institute support

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
1	Support received from the institute during the admission process of your ward	Qty			10	5	4	19	3.68
		Point wise weightage	0	0	30	20	20	70	
2	Teaching-Learning environment	Qty			1	9	9	19	4.42
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	36	45	84	
3	Discipline Practices	Qty			3	7	9	19	4.32
		Point wise weightage	0	0	9	28	45	82	
4	System of Monitoring Student's Progress	Qty			3	6	9	18	4.33
		Point wise weightage	0	0	9	24	45	78	
5	Faculty interaction (Teacher Guardian Scheme)	Qty	1		2	6	10	19	4.26
		Point wise weightage	1	0	6	24	50	81	
6	Grievances redressal of your ward	Qty			5	8	6	19	4.05
		Point wise weightage	0	0	15	32	30	77	
7	Learning resources such as Laboratories and Library facilities	Qty			10	4	5	19	3.74
		Point wise weightage	0	0	30	16	25	71	
8	Enhancement of student's personality	Qty			4	5	10	19	4.32
		Point wise weightage	0	0	12	20	50	82	
9	Response to society needs	Qty		2	3	2	12	19	4.32
		Point wise weightage	0	5	9	8	60	82	
10	Participation in Sports & Extra-curricular activities	Qty			4	9	6	19	4.11
		Point wise weightage	0	0	12	36	30	78	
11	Career guidance received for your ward	Qty			1	9	9	19	4.42
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	36	45	84	
12	Training and placement support	Qty			3	6	10	19	4.37
		Point wise weightage	0	0	9	24	50	83	
13	Hostel, Canteen and Transport facility	Qty			2	8	9	19	4.37
		Point wise weightage	0	0	6	32	45	83	



**Part 2 –Feedback regarding Curriculum**

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
1	Content and coverage	Qty			8	5	6	19	3.89
		Point wise weightage	0	0	24	20	30	74	
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Qty			3	9	7	19	4.21
		Point wise weightage	0	0	9	36	35	80	
3	Ordering of the courses	Qty			5	6	8	19	4.16
		Point wise weightage	0	0	15	24	40	79	
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Qty			5	8	6	19	4.05
		Point wise weightage	0	0	15	32	30	77	
5	Practical content in the curriculum	Qty			3	2	14	19	4.58
		Point wise weightage	0	0	9	8	70	87	



Prof. P. R. Gajare  
Criteria-I Coordinator



Prof. R. N. Bajl  
HOD, Electrical Engg.



Dear Parent,

You are an important stakeholder of our institute and your satisfaction is important to us. We therefore request you to spend some quality time in providing us with your valuable feedback of the following features / facilities provided to your ward.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

**PARENTS FEEDBACK FORM**

Name of Parent: Shahitam jejatam Gawali  
 Residential Address: Bijagini colony, pimpalnet  
 Contact Number: 9745899246 e-mail id: gawalkhaishabu1901@gmail  
 Present Organisation: MGEDCL  
 Designation: senior operator Present Location: Dhule  
 Name of your ward: Gawali khushbu shahitam  
 Department: Electrical Class & Division: T.E

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:  
 Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Institute support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Support received from the institute during the admission process of your ward					✓
2.	Teaching-Learning environment				✓	
3.	Discipline Practices			✓		
4.	System of Monitoring Student's Progress				✓	
5.	Faculty interaction (Teacher Guardian Scheme)					✓
6.	Grievances redressal of your ward			✓		
7.	Learning resources such as Laboratories and Library facilities			✓		
8.	Enhancement of student's personality				✓	
9.	Response to society needs					✓
10.	Participation in Sports & Extra-curricular activities			✓		
11.	Career guidance received for your ward				✓	
12.	Training and placement support				✓	
13.	Hostel, Canteen and Transport facility					✓



You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:  
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage			✓		
2.	Adequacy of the core courses				✓	
3.	Ordering of the courses					✓
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					✓
5.	Practical content in the curriculum			✓		

Part 3 – Generalised Feedback			
Sr.	Parameter	Yes	No
1.	Do you regularly receive communications / updates from the institute / department?	✓	
2.	Were you invited for Parents meet?	✓	
3.	If yes, did you attend the meet?	✓	
4.	Will you recommend our institute for the ward of your friends and social contacts?	✓	

Suggestive note: \_\_\_\_\_



G. J. Grawali  
Signature



Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
**Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering**

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road, -  
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Parent,

You are an important stakeholder of our institute and your satisfaction is important to us. We therefore request you to spend some quality time in providing us with your valuable feedback of the following features / facilities provided to your ward.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

**PARENTS FEEDBACK FORM**

Name of Parent: Anil Tulshirami kushade  
Residential Address: N-41-VB1-88 Savta nagar, cidco, Nashik  
Contact Number: 9881692476 e-mail id: -  
Present Organisation: Contractor  
Designation: Contractor Present Location: Nashik  
Name of your ward: Tejaswini Anil kushade  
Department: Electrical Class & Division: BE

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:  
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Institute support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Support received from the institute during the admission process of your ward				✓	
2.	Teaching-Learning environment					✓
3.	Discipline Practices					✓
4.	System of Monitoring Student's Progress					✓
5.	Faculty interaction (Teacher Guardian Scheme)				✓	
6.	Grievances redressal of your ward				✓	
7.	Learning resources such as Laboratories and Library facilities			✓		
8.	Enhancement of student's personality					✓
9.	Response to society needs					✓
10.	Participation in Sports & Extra-curricular activities				✓	
11.	Career guidance received for your ward					✓
12.	Training and placement support					✓
13.	Hostel, Canteen and Transport facility					✓





You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:  
 Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage					✓
2.	Adequacy of the core courses					✓
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					✓
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Part 3 – Generalised Feedback			
Sr.	Parameter	Yes	No
1.	Do you regularly receive communications / updates from the institute / department?	✓	
2.	Were you invited for Parents meet?	✓	
3.	If yes, did you attend the meet?	✓	
4.	Will you recommend our institute for the ward of your friends and social contacts?	✓	

Suggestive note: \_\_\_\_\_



*Keshade*  
 Signature

**Department of Computer Engineering**  
**Analysis Report of Alumni Feedback Form**  
**Academic Year 2022-23**

**Part I – Feedback regarding Institute support**

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
			Qty	Point wise weightage					
1	Admission procedure	Qty	1	1	5	6	26	39	4.41
		Point wise weightage	1	2	15	24	130	172	
2	Institute environment	Qty	0	0	2	11	26	39	4.62
		Point wise weightage	0	0	6	44	130	180	
3	Academic infrastructure	Qty	1	0	4	7	27	39	4.51
		Point wise weightage	1	0	12	28	135	176	
4	Laboratory facilities	Qty	0	0	3	19	17	39	4.36
		Point wise weightage	0	0	9	76	85	170	
5	Research and Innovation support	Qty	0	1	5	8	25	39	4.46
		Point wise weightage	0	2	15	32	125	174	
6	Library facilities	Qty	1	0	2	22	14	39	4.23
		Point wise weightage	1	0	6	88	70	165	
7	Computer and Internet facilities	Qty	1	0	6	4	28	39	4.49
		Point wise weightage	1	0	18	16	140	175	
8	Cultural and Sport facilities	Qty	0	1	0	11	27	39	4.64
		Point wise weightage	0	2	0	44	135	181	
9	Hostel facility	Qty	0	0	6	14	19	39	4.33
		Point wise weightage	0	0	18	56	95	169	
10	Transport facility	Qty	0	1	1	13	24	39	4.54
		Point wise weightage	0	2	3	52	120	177	
11	Grievances redressal	Qty	0	1	16	3	19	39	4.03
		Point wise weightage	0	2	48	12	95	157	
12	Training and placement	Qty	0	0	5	20	14	39	4.23
		Point wise weightage	0	0	15	80	70	165	
13	Career counselling activities	Qty	0	1	18	8	12	39	3.79
		Point wise weightage	0	2	54	32	60	148	
14	Canteen facility	Qty	1	1	15	11	11	39	3.77
		Point wise weightage	1	2	45	44	55	147	



15	Recreational facilities	Qty	1	0	4	14	20	39	4.33
		Point wise weightage	1	0	12	56	100	169	


**Part 2 –Feedback regarding Programme Educational Objective**

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
1	Your knowledge in mathematical, scientific and engineering fundamentals has helped you in initiating a successful career as well as advance in it	Qty	1	0	8	8	22	39	4.28
		Point wise weightage	1	0	24	32	110	167	
2	You have not face any difficulty in adopting to new methods and technology at your workplace	Qty	1	2	5	8	23	39	4.28
		Point wise weightage	1	4	15	32	115	167	
3	You were able to cope up with your current job challenges	Qty	1	0	5	10	23	39	4.38
		Point wise weightage	1	0	15	40	115	171	
4	You find yourself competent enough in handling projects / assignments successfully as a team member	Qty	1	0	6	10	22	39	4.33
		Point wise weightage	1	0	18	40	110	169	

**Part 2 –Feedback regarding Feedback regarding Curriculum**

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
1	Content and coverage	Qty	0	3	6	9	21	39	4.46
		Point wise weightage	0	6	27	36	105	174	
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Qty	0	1	4	9	25	39	4.87
		Point wise weightage	0	2	27	36	125	190	
3	Ordering of the courses	Qty	0	1	8	6	24	39	4.44
		Point wise weightage	0	2	27	24	120	173	
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Qty	0	1	6	12	20	39	4.54
		Point wise weightage	0	2	27	48	100	177	
5	Practical content in the curriculum	Qty	0	1	5	4	29	39	4.87
		Point wise weightage	0	2	27	16	145	190	



  
**Prof. (Dr.) N. R. Wankhade**  
**HOD**



Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
**Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering**

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,  
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Alumni,

We are glad that you have spent valuable years in pursuing the course of your choice in this institute. We will be thankful if you can fill this feedback form and share your insight about the department and institute based on the following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education delivered at Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering.

- Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

**ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM**

Alumni Details:

Name:

Kale Aditya Gautam

Residential Address:

203, Gauri Ganesh Apt, m.k. Road, Kalyan west

Contact Number:

8552077506

e-mail id:

aditya 8 kale @ gmail. com

Present Organisation:

IT wedant pvt. ltm.

Designation:

Assistant trainer.

Present Location:

pune

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction / agreement:  
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Institute Support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Admission procedure				✓	
2.	Institute environment				✓	
3.	Academic infrastructure					✓
4.	Laboratory facilities					✓
5.	Research and Innovation support				✓	
6.	Library facilities				✓	
7.	Computer and Internet facilities					✓
8.	Cultural and Sport facilities					✓
9.	Hostel facility				✓	
10.	Transport facility					✓
11.	Grievances redressal					✓
12.	Training and placement				✓	
13.	Career counselling activities				✓	
14.	Canteen facility					✓
15.	Recreational facilities					✓



You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:  
Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 – Neutral; 4 – Agree; 5 – Strongly agree

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs)-						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Your knowledge in mathematical, scientific and engineering fundamentals has helped you in initiating a successful career as well as advance in it				✓	
2.	You have not face any difficulty in adopting to new methods and technology at your workplace				✓	
3.	You were able to cope up with your current job challenges					✓
4.	You find yourself competent enough in handling projects / assignments successfully as a team member					✓

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:  
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 3 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage					✓
2.	Adequacy of the core courses					✓
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses				✓	
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Part 4 – Generalised Feedback and Contribution			
Sr.	Parameter	Yes	No
1.	Do you feel proud to be associated with Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering?	✓	
2.	Do you regularly receive communications / updates from the institute / department?	✓	
3.	Based on your performance have you ever been appreciated by your present / past organisation?	✓	
4.	Have you made any significant achievement in your career?	✓	
5.	Based on your professional expertise would you like to mentor your juniors?	✓	
6.	Would you like to financially contribute to the Alumni Association?	✓	

Suggestive note: \_\_\_\_\_



*Kale*  
Signature



Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
**Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering**

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,  
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Alumni,

We are glad that you have spent valuable years in pursuing the course of your choice in this institute. We will be thankful if you can fill this feedback form and share your insight about the department and institute based on the following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education delivered at Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering.

Principal  
(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

**ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM**

**Alumni Details:**

Name:

Tatiya prasanna Rajendrakumar

Residential Address:

480, A. Tatiya collage, Near Dholy Ganapati mandir.

Contact Number:

9762087166

e-mail id: prasanna.tatiya99@gmail.com

Present Organisation:

Business (Hotel supply)

Designation:

Distributor

Present Location:

Nashik

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction / agreement:  
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding Institute Support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Admission procedure					✓
2.	Institute environment					✓
3.	Academic infrastructure				✓	
4.	Laboratory facilities				✓	
5.	Research and Innovation support				✓	
6.	Library facilities					✓
7.	Computer and Internet facilities					✓
8.	Cultural and Sport facilities					✓
9.	Hostel facility				✓	
10.	Transport facility					✓
11.	Grievances redressal					✓
12.	Training and placement					✓
13.	Career counselling activities					✓
14.	Canteen facility				✓	
15.	Recreational facilities				✓	



You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:  
Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 – Neutral; 4 – Agree; 5 – Strongly agree

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Programme Educational Objectives (PEOs)						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Your knowledge in mathematical, scientific and engineering fundamentals has helped you in initiating a successful career as well as advance in it				✓	
2.	You have not face any difficulty in adopting to new methods and technology at your workplace				✓	
3.	You were able to cope up with your current job challenges					✓
4.	You find yourself competent enough in handling projects / assignments successfully as a team member					✓

You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:  
Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 3 – Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage					✓
2.	Adequacy of the core courses					✓
3.	Ordering of the courses					✓
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses				✓	
5.	Practical content in the curriculum				✓	

Part 4 – Generalised Feedback and Contribution			
Sr.	Parameter	Yes	No
1.	Do you feel proud to be associated with Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering?	✓	
2.	Do you regularly receive communications / updates from the institute / department?	✓	
3.	Based on your performance have you ever been appreciated by your present / past organisation?	✓	
4.	Have you made any significant achievement in your career?	✓	
5.	Based on your professional expertise would you like to mentor your juniors?	✓	
6.	Would you like to financially contribute to the Alumni Association?	✓	

Suggestive note: \_\_\_\_\_



*W. B. Jayap*  
Signature



**Department of Mechanical Engineering**

**Course: BE Mechanical Engg.**

**Academic Year: 2022-23**

**Analysis Report of Program Exit Survey by Students**

**Part 1 – Feedback Regarding Programme Outcomes (Pos)**

Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 – Neutral; 4 – Agree; 5 – Strongly agree

Sr. No.	Department has prepared me to	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
			Qty	Point wise weightage					
1	Apply knowledge of mathematics, science and engineering	Qty	1	1	6	13	27	48	4.33
		Point wise weightage	1	2	18	52	135	208	
2	Analyse system/problem by applying domain specific knowledge	Qty	0	1	5	15	27	48	4.42
		Point wise weightage	0	2	15	60	135	212	
3	Understand design of a product / software / system / component / process to meet desired needs in engineering field within realistic constraints like economic, environmental, social, ethical, health & safety	Qty	1	2	4	8	33	48	4.46
		Point wise weightage	1	4	12	32	165	214	
4	Identify, formulate and solve complex engineering problem	Qty	0	1	5	17	25	48	4.38
		Point wise weightage	0	2	15	68	125	210	
5	Apply the technique, skill, and modern engineering tool necessary for engineering practice	Qty	1	1	2	14	30	48	4.48
		Point wise weightage	1	2	6	56	150	215	
6	Contribute to the society by understanding the impact of engineering on global aspect	Qty	1	0	4	15	28	48	4.44
		Point wise weightage	1	0	12	60	140	213	
7	Understand environment issues and design a sustainable system	Qty	1	2	2	12	31	48	4.46
		Point wise weightage	1	4	6	48	155	214	
8	Understand professional and ethical responsibility	Qty	0	0	2	17	29	48	4.56
		Point wise weightage	0	0	6	68	145	219	
9	Function effectively as an individual and as member or leader in diverse teams and multidisciplinary settings	Qty	0	1	1	16	30	48	4.56
		Point wise weightage	0	2	3	64	150	219	
10	Communicate effectively at various levels	Qty	2	0	3	14	29	48	4.42
		Point wise weightage	2	0	9	56	145	212	
11	Apply the knowledge for development of projects and its finance & management	Qty	0	0	4	20	24	48	4.42
		Point wise weightage	0	0	12	80	120	212	
12	Recognize the need for and develop an ability to engage in life-long learning	Qty	2	0	4	10	32	48	4.46
		Point wise weightage	2	0	12	40	160	214	

**Part 2 – Feedback regarding Institute Support**





Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→	1	2	3	4	5	Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5
			Qty	Point wise weightage	Qty	Point wise weightage	Qty		
1	Admission procedure	Qty	0	0	3	6	39	48	4.75
		Point wise weightage	0	0	9	24	195	228	
2	Institute environment	Qty	0	1	0	4	43	48	4.85
		Point wise weightage	0	2	0	16	215	233	
3	Academic Infrastructure	Qty	1	0	1	4	42	48	4.79
		Point wise weightage	1	0	3	16	210	230	
4	Laboratory facilities	Qty	0	4	0	7	37	48	4.60
		Point wise weightage	0	8	0	28	185	221	
5	Research and Innovation support	Qty	0	0	1	10	37	48	4.75
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	40	185	228	
6	Library facilities	Qty	1	1	1	10	35	48	4.60
		Point wise weightage	1	2	3	40	175	221	
7	Computer and Internet facilities	Qty	1	2	0	10	35	48	4.58
		Point wise weightage	1	4	0	40	175	220	
8	Cultural and Sport facilities	Qty	0	0	2	8	38	48	4.75
		Point wise weightage	0	0	6	32	190	228	
9	Hostel facility	Qty	1	0	1	10	36	48	4.65
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	40	180	223	
10	Transport facility	Qty	0	1	1	9	37	48	4.71
		Point wise weightage	0	2	3	36	185	226	
11	Grievances redressal	Qty	0	2	0	11	35	48	4.65
		Point wise weightage	0	4	0	44	175	223	
12	Training and placement	Qty	2	0	1	5	40	48	4.69
		Point wise weightage	2	0	3	20	200	225	
13	Career counselling activities	Qty	0	2	2	9	35	48	4.60
		Point wise weightage	0	4	6	36	175	221	
14	Canteen facility	Qty	1	1	1	11	34	48	4.56
		Point wise weightage	0	2	3	44	170	219	
15	Recreational facilities	Qty	0	1	2	5	40	48	4.75
		Point wise weightage	0	2	6	20	200	228	
16	Student Safety	Qty	0	0	2	5	41	48	4.81
		Point wise weightage	0	0	6	20	205	231	

Prof. J. R. Mahajan  
Dept. Coordinator



Prof. Dr. T. Y. Badgujar  
HOD



Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
**Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering**

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,  
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Student,

We are glad that you have spent valuable years in pursuing the program of your choice in this institute. We will be thankful if you can fill this feedback form and share your insight about the department and institute based on the following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education delivered at Department of ..... Engineering.

Head of Department

(Department of ..... Engineering)

**PROGRAM EXIT SURVEY BY STUDENTS**

Student Details:


Name: Bansode Prathamesh Bansi  
Residential Address: Gawli ni was pathardi phata nashik  
Contact Number: 9834254427 e-mail id: prathameshbansode1929@  
Class & Division: 17E Roll No: \_\_\_\_\_

Please rate your capability in each of the Program Outcomes (PO) on a 1 to 5 numerical scale. Please take a few moments to acquaint yourself with these criteria. You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:

Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 - Disagree; 3 - Neutral; 4 - Agree; 5 - Strongly agree

**Part 1 - Feedback regarding Programme Outcomes (POs)**

Sr.	The Department has prepared me to:	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Apply knowledge of mathematics, science and engineering					✓
2.	Analyse system/problem by applying domain specific knowledge				✓	
3.	Understand design of a product / software / system / component / process to meet desired needs in engineering field within realistic constraints like economic, environmental, social, ethical, health & safety					✓
4.	Identify, formulate and solve complex engineering problem.					✓
5.	Apply the technique, skill, and modern engineering tool necessary for engineering practice					✓
6.	Contribute to the society by understanding the impact of engineering on global aspect				✓	
7.	Understand environment issues and design a sustainable system				✓	
8.	Understand professional and ethical responsibility					✓
9.	Function effectively as an individual and as member or leader in diverse teams and multidisciplinary settings				✓	
10.	Communicate effectively at various levels					✓
11.	Apply the knowledge for development of projects and its finance & management					✓
12.	Recognize the need for and develop an ability to engage in life-long learning					✓



You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:  
 Scale: 1- Poor; 2 – Satisfactory; 3 – Good; 4 – Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 2 – Feedback regarding Institute Support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Admission procedure					✓
2.	Institute environment					✓
3.	Academic infrastructure					✓
4.	Laboratory facilities				✓	
5.	Research and Innovation support				✓	
6.	Library facilities				✓	
7.	Computer and Internet facilities				✓	✓
8.	Cultural and Sport facilities					✓
9.	Hostel facility					✓
10.	Transport facility					✓
11.	Grievances redressal					✓
12.	Training and placement					✓
13.	Career counselling activities					✓
14.	Canteen facility				✓	
15.	Recreational facilities					✓
16.	Student Safety					✓

Suggestive note: \_\_\_\_\_



*B. B. B. B.*  
 Signature



Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
**Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering**

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,  
Nashik – 422 213



Dear Student,

We are glad that you have spent valuable years in pursuing the program of your choice in this institute. We will be thankful if you can fill this feedback form and share your insight about the department and institute based on the following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education delivered at Department of Mechanical Engineering.

Head of Department  
(Department of Mechanical Engineering)

**PROGRAM EXIT SURVEY BY STUDENTS**

**Student Details:**

Name:

Prathamesh Shashikant wadkar

Residential Address:

10, Darpan Park, Radha Nagar, Panchavati, Nashik 422 003

Contact Number:

7058076186

e-mail id:

Prathameshwadkar30@gmail.com

Class & Division:

BE-A

Roll No:

65

Please rate your capability in each of the Program Outcomes (PO) on a 1 to 5 numerical scale. Please take a few moments to acquaint yourself with these criteria. You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of agreement:

Scale: 1- Strongly disagree; 2 – Disagree; 3 – Neutral; 4 – Agree; 5 – Strongly agree

Part 1 – Feedback regarding Programme Outcomes (POs)						
Sr.	The Department has prepared me to:	Level of Agreement				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Apply knowledge of mathematics, science and engineering					✓
2.	Analyse system/problem by applying domain specific knowledge				✓	
3.	Understand design of a product / software / system / component / process to meet desired needs in engineering field within realistic constraints like economic, environmental, social, ethical, health & safety					✓
4.	Identify, formulate and solve complex engineering problem				✓	
5.	Apply the technique, skill, and modern engineering tool necessary for engineering practice					✓
6.	Contribute to the society by understanding the impact of engineering on global aspect				✓	
7.	Understand environment issues and design a sustainable system					✓
8.	Understand professional and ethical responsibility				✓	
9.	Function effectively as an individual and as member or leader in diverse teams and multidisciplinary settings					✓
10.	Communicate effectively at various levels				✓	
11.	Apply the knowledge for development of projects and its finance & management					✓
12.	Recognize the need for and develop an ability to engage in life-long learning				✓	



You are requested to rate with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:  
 Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Institute Support						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Admission procedure					✓
2.	Institute environment					✓
3.	Academic infrastructure					✓
4.	Laboratory facilities					✓
5.	Research and Innovation support				✓	
6.	Library facilities				✓	
7.	Computer and Internet facilities				✓	
8.	Cultural and Sport facilities				✓	
9.	Hostel facility					✓
10.	Transport facility					✓
11.	Grievances redressal					✓
12.	Training and placement					✓
13.	Career counselling activities				✓	
14.	Canteen facility				✓	
15.	Recreational facilities					✓
16.	Student Safety					✓

Suggestive note: \_\_\_\_\_



*Bhudekar*

Signature



**Kalyani Charitable Trust, Late Gambhirrao Natuba Sapkal College of Engineering, Anjaneri,  
Trimbakeshwar Road, Nashik**

**Feedback Analysis**

**Title :** Faculty Feedback form  
**Academic Year :** 2022-23  
**Class :** Computer Engineering  
**Details :** Activity

Total number of response(s) : 7

**Question** Faculty are given opportunity to participate in syllabus revision and implementation activities arranged by the university

Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	5	25	71.43
Agree	4	2	8	28.57
Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00



Performance 94.29  
 Final Attainment 2.83

**Question** The syllabus of the program being offered is current and relevant

Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	4	20	57.14
Agree	4	3	12	42.86
Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00



Performance 91.43  
 Final Attainment 2.74

**Question** The system followed by the institute for implementation and delivery of curriculum is effective

Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	2	10	28.57
Agree	4	5	20	71.43
Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00



Performance 85.71  
 Final Attainment 2.57

**Question** The curriculum is updated from time to time by the affiliating university

Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	3	15	42.86
Agree	4	4	16	57.14
Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00



Performance 88.57  
 Final Attainment 2.60



Question	The Institute makes available student centred learning resources, i.e., e-resources & ICT facilities to faculties			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly Agree	5	2	10	28.57
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Agree	4	4	16	57.14
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Neutral	3	1	3	14.29
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				82.80
Final Attainment				2.49



Question	The faculties are encouraged to carry out research			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly Agree	5	5	25	71.43
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Agree	4	2	8	28.57
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				94.29
Final Attainment				2.83



Question	The faculties are encouraged to participate in seminars /workshops / symposia / conferences			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly Agree	5	4	20	57.14
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Agree	4	3	12	42.86
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				91.43
Final Attainment				2.74



Question	The faculties are encouraged to organize seminars / workshops / symposia / conferences			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly Agree	5	2	10	28.57
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Agree	4	5	20	71.43
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				85.71
Final Attainment				2.57



Question	The faculties are encouraged to establish linkage with Industry			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly Agree	5	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Agree	4	7	28	100.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				80.00
Final Attainment				2.40



Question	The library is utilized optimally by the faculties & students			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly Agree	5	1	5	14.29
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Agree	4	6	24	85.71
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				82.86
Final Attainment				2.49

Question	The institute has provided facility for taking up research and consultancy activity			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	1	5	14.29
Agree	4	6	24	85.71
Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	82.86			
Final Attainment	2.49			



Question	The merit of the teachers is recognized			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	1	5	14.29
Agree	4	5	20	71.43
Neutral	3	1	3	14.29
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	80.00			
Final Attainment	2.40			



Question	The classrooms and laboratories available are adequate			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	1	5	14.29
Agree	4	6	24	85.71
Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	82.86			
Final Attainment	2.49			



Question	Recreational facility for faculty and students are sufficient			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	1	5	14.29
Agree	4	5	20	71.43
Neutral	3	1	3	14.29
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	80.00			
Final Attainment	2.40			



Question	Safe drinking water is available			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	1	5	14.29
Agree	4	5	20	71.43
Neutral	3	1	3	14.29
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	80.00			
Final Attainment	2.40			



Question	Sports infrastructure is adequate			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
Strongly Agree	5	4	20	57.14
Agree	4	3	12	42.86
Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	91.43			
Final Attainment	2.74			





Question	The administration is accessible			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly Agree	5	3	15	42.88
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Agree	4	4	16	57.14
<input type="radio"/> Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	88.57			
Final Attainment	2.66			



Question	The faculty are given opportunity to express their opinions			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Strongly Agree	5	3	15	42.86
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Agree	4	4	16	57.14
<input type="radio"/> Neutral	3	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Disagree	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Strongly disagree	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	88.57			
Final Attainment	2.66			



Question	Content and coverage			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	1	5	14.29
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Very Good	4	6	24	85.71
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	82.86			
Final Attainment	2.49			



Question	Adequacy of the core courses			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	2	10	28.57
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Very Good	4	5	20	71.43
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	85.71			
Final Attainment	2.57			




Question	Ordering of the courses			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	1	5	14.29
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Very Good	4	6	24	85.71
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	82.86			
Final Attainment	2.49			



Question	Adequacy of the elective courses			
Answer	Value	No. of response(s)	Response value	Response %
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Excellent	5	2	10	28.57
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Very Good	4	4	16	57.14
<input type="radio"/> Good	3	1	3	14.29
<input type="radio"/> Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
<input type="radio"/> Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance	82.86			
Final Attainment	2.49			



Question	Practical content in the curriculum		Response value	Response %
	Value	No. of response(s)		
Excellent	5	1	5	14.29
Very Good	4	6	24	85.71
Good	3	0	0	0.00
Satisfactory	2	0	0	0.00
Poor	1	0	0	0.00
Performance				82.86
Final Attainment				2.49



**Questionwise Attainment**

Activity Number	Attainment
1	2.83
2	2.74
3	2.57
4	2.66
5	2.49
6	2.83
7	2.74
8	2.57
9	2.40
10	2.49
11	2.49
12	2.40
13	2.49
14	2.40
15	2.40
16	2.74
17	2.66
18	2.66
19	2.49
20	2.57
21	2.49
22	2.49
23	2.49


**Program outcome and question mapping**

AO	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
AO1															
AO2															
AO3															
AO4															
AO5															
AO6															
AO7															
AO8															
AO9															
AO10															
AO11															
AO12															
AO13															
AO14															
AO15															
AO16															
AO17															
AO18															
AO19															
AO20															
AO21															
AO22															
AO23															
Average															

Program outcome attainment

AO	AO Attainment	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
AO1	2.83															
AO2	2.74															
AO3	2.57															
AO4	2.66															
AO5	2.49															
AO6	2.83															
AO7	2.74															
AO8	2.57															
AO9	2.40															
AO10	2.49															
AO11	2.49															
AO12	2.40															
AO13	2.49															
AO14	2.40															
AO15	2.40															
AO16	2.74															
AO17	2.66															
AO18	2.66															
AO19	2.49															
AO20	2.57															
AO21	2.49															
AO22	2.49															
AO23	2.49															
Average	2.57															

Achieved Scope for improvement



*Shweta*

Prof. S. D. Bagade  
Departmental NAAC Criteria-I Coordinator

*N. R. Wankhade*

Prof. (Dr.) N. R. Wankhade  
Head of Department

# Electrical Engineering Department Analysis Report of Employer Feedback Form

## Part 1 – Feedback regarding the Employee

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→					Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5	
		1	2	3	4	5			
1	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complex engineering problems	Qty				1	1	2	4.50
		Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	5	9	
2	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach	Qty				2		2	4.00
		Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	0	8	
3	Uses technology and workplace equipment	Qty			1	1		2	3.50
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	0	7	
4	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute	Qty				1	1	2	4.50
		Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	5	9	
5	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques	Qty					2	2	5.00
		Point wise weightage	0	0	0	0	10	10	
6	Ethical and professional behaviour	Qty			1	1		2	3.50
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	0	7	
7	Overall behaviour with peers	Qty			1	1		2	3.50
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	0	7	
8	Communication skill (verbal & written)	Qty					2	2	5.00
		Point wise weightage	0	0	0	0	10	10	
9	Planning and organisation skill	Qty			1	1		2	3.50
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	0	7	
10	Contribution in development of organisation	Qty				1	1	2	4.50
		Point wise weightage	0	0	0	4	5	9	

## Part 2 – Feedback regarding Curriculum

Sr. No.	Parameters	Scale→					Sample Size	Average Rating Out of 5	
		1	2	3	4	5			
1	Content and coverage	Qty			1	1		2	3.50
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	4	0	7	
2	Adequacy of the core courses	Qty			1		1	2	4.00
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	0	5	8	
3	Ordering of the courses	Qty				2		2	4.00
		Point wise weightage	0	0	0	8	0	8	
4	Adequacy of the elective courses	Qty			1		1	2	4.00
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	0	5	8	
5	Practical content in the curriculum	Qty			1		1	2	4.00
		Point wise weightage	0	0	3	0	5	8	



Prof. P. R. Gajare  
Dept. Criteria-I Coordinator



Prof. R. N. Baji  
Head of Department





Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
**Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering**

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,  
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

**EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM**

Name of the Company / Institute: Setu Electricals, Nashik.  
Address: At Post - Dhakambe, Pindori road, Nashik.  
Name of the Evaluating Person: Mr. Kiran Phadke.  
Designation of the Evaluating Person: Proprietor (owner)  
Contact Number: 8668586604 e-mail id: pratikbhandare.92@gmail.com

You are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates your-level of satisfaction:  
Scale: 1 - Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems					✓
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach				✓	
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment				✓	
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute				✓	
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques					✓
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour			✓		
7.	Overall behaviour with peers				✓	
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)					✓
9.	Planning and organisation skill			✓		
10.	Contribution in development of organisation				✓	

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage				✓	
2.	Adequacy of the core courses			✓		
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses			✓		
5.	Practical content in the curriculum					✓

Critical note for the institute: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Seal of the Employer

**M/S. SETU ELECTRICAL**  
Govt. Electrical Contractor  
Licence No.: 35566



Kalyani Charitable Trust's  
**Late G. N. Sapkal College of Engineering**

Kalyani Hills, Anjaneri, Trimbakeshwar Road,  
Nashik - 422 213



Dear Employer,

As the industry is the final destination of engineering graduates, the satisfaction of employer is important for us as an educational institute. Therefore as an ultimate beneficiary, we will appreciate your efforts in sharing your experience about our engineering graduate based on following aspects so that we can take corrective actions to maintain as well as enhance the quality in education.

Principal

(Late G N Sapkal College of Engineering)

**EMPLOYER FEEDBACK FORM**


Name of the Company / Institute: Setu electrical & transformer  
 Address: At post darumbe vidani road Nashik  
 Name of the Evaluating Person: Mr. Kiran detroll  
 Designation of the Evaluating Person: proprietor  
 Contact Number: 8329627549 e-mail id: sanket6999@gmail.com

You are requested to rate our students with a tick in the box that indicates your level of satisfaction:  
 Scale: 1- Poor; 2 - Satisfactory; 3 - Good; 4 - Very Good; 5 - Excellent

Part 1 - Feedback regarding the Employee						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Ability in applying fundamental knowledge in the solution of complying engineering problems				✓	
2.	Is self-motivated with creative & investigative approach				✓	
3.	Uses technology and workplace equipment			✓		
4.	Involvement in social activities by your industry / institute					✓
5.	Flexibility in adopting to new methods and techniques					✓
6.	Ethical and professional behaviour				✓	
7.	Overall behaviour with peers			✓		
8.	Communication skill (verbal & written)					✓
9.	Planning and organisation skill				✓	
10.	Contribution in development of organisation					✓

Part 2 - Feedback regarding Curriculum						
Sr.	Parameter	Level of Satisfaction				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Content and coverage			✓		
2.	Adequacy of the core courses					✓
3.	Ordering of the courses				✓	
4.	Adequacy of the elective courses					✓
5.	Practical content in the curriculum			✓		

Critical note for the institute: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Signature & Seal of the Employer

**M/S. SETU ELECTRICAL**  
Govt. Electrical Contractor  
Licence No.: 35536